Imani Gandy
Hello fellow law nerds. Welcome to another episode of Boom! Lawyered, a Rewire News Group podcast hosted by the legal journalism team that has a deaf dog named news. Nutella, as a matter of fact. I'm Rewire News Group senior editor of law and policy Imani Gandy.

Jess Pieklo
And I'm Jess Pieklo, Rewire News Group's Executive Editor. Rewire News Group is the one and only home for expert report journalism that inspires you to ask, does anybody even know what time it is?

Imani Gandy
Does anybody even care?

Jess Pieklo
Boom! Lawyered podcast is part of that mission. So a big thanks to our subscribers and welcome to our new listeners. And it's been...

Imani Gandy
[sings] One week since you looked at me. [laughter] You said "it's been" and my mind immediately goes to Barenaked Ladies. I'm sorry. I can't help you. [sings again] "It's been one week since you looked at me."

Jess Pieklo
Listeners, this is the state of affairs at the Boom! Lawyered podcast, we are completely off the rails. The Supreme Court has done this to us. We are quoting yacht rock first thing in the morning and transitioning hard into Barenaked Ladies. So if this is the kind of programming you would like more of, please, we're asking, toss a little bit this way. It helps keep this show on the air. It helps keeps Imani on the website, it helps keep us on the Twitters, all of it. rewirenewsgroup.com/donate.

Imani Gandy
So before we get started, I wanted to draw your attention to some really great stuff that we have on the website. One of those really great things is something I wrote, if I'm going to toot my horn about it. It's called "the 15 week ban is a coward's compromise." And it's really all about the number of law professors that are really, really smart and have really, really interesting things to say that should be left on the pages of law review articles or in law school webinars. We do not need people like Professor Aaron Tang, who was a former clerk of Sonia Sotomayor, writing op-eds in the New York Times and the Washington Post, arguing that a 15 week ban would be some sort of moderate compromise and would somehow rehabilitate the image of the court. No, as you've said, quite clearly, and quite strongly, a 15
Imani Gandy
And do you want to know why we don't compromise on human rights? Because of things like, because of things like what Caroline Reilly wrote about, she's our legal fellow, she wrote a piece entitled "The Texas abortion ban reality: we have to say no to patients every single day." And that's really what's so critical to remember, we like to talk about the law, we like to talk about policy. Hell, I'm senior editor of law and policy. But we have to remember that all of these issues involve real people with real needs. There's a healthcare crisis in Texas right now. And we need to think about that, we need to talk about that. And we need to be careful about how we think and talk about it. Because we can't be offering up compromises, no compromises.

Jess Pieklo
No, thought exercises are not cool when people's bodies are on the line.

Imani Gandy
Absolutely. And I wanted to take some time to shout out a fan. This is a fan of ours. She's been a fan of ours for a really long time. And we love her, Kaitlin Ann, she's fantastic. So she says, "You know what was better than following oral arguments? snuggling with a pile of newborn babies. I'm gonna go listen to Boom Lawyered to catch up. But that was some exquisite self care." And then she tweeted, "listening to Boom Lawyered, still snuggling babies, 10 out of 10 would recommend." So if you're the type of person that likes to get involved and get involved in self care, while you're listening to things that might stress you out, why not pair Boom! Lawyered and some babies?

Jess Pieklo
Also, Kaitlyn Ann, thank you for introducing these fine young babies to Boom Lawyered right away. Like, this is how we grow an audience and we appreciate you for that.

Imani Gandy
Right, we got to get to them when they're in the womb, frankly, we need to start putting microphones up to pregnant people's bellies. But we should, so we got to talk about what happened last Monday. Right, Jess?

Jess Pieklo
How was it only last Monday though? How?

Imani Gandy
I don't know! Things are moving so quickly. But last Monday, we heard the first of two direct challenges to Roe, and I'm calling it a direct challenge to Roe even though it wasn't supposed to be a direct challenge to Roe, because Texas asked the court to basically end Roe even though the question that was presented and the issue that was to be heard was about Texas's bounty hunter law, the enforcement mechanism of the bounty hunter law. So I'm still calling it a direct challenge to Roe even though the bulk of the conversation was related to standing and whether the bounty hunter mechanism was enforceable
and whether or not anyone could even sue Texas because they were so clever in the way they went about creating this law. It was a disaster of a hearing. It really was. It was like, we talked about it last week a little bit. But I do want to reiterate how striking it was to hear a case ostensibly about abortion, where people weren't talking about abortion and weren't talking about the people who actually need abortions. It was very frustrating.

_**Jess Pieklo**_

Yeah, yeah. I mean, to say the least. And again, you know, SB 8 has been in effect for over two months now. And so very little was even talked about with the harm of it, you know?

_**Imani Gandy**_

Yeah, it was terrible. But hmm, we got to talk about one guy, we got to talk about this guy, and I know you're not gonna be too jazzed about it, because you've given this guy some flowers, like not nice flowers, not a bouquet, like a couple of dandelions you picked out of the yard, because you thought he looked a little bit like, like a Colorado hottie with the beard. And that's okay. I mean, look, I've, I'm not going to talk about Rick Perry in the glasses. No, that is well trodden ground. But we do have to talk about Neil Gorsuch, and we have to talk about what a jerk he was during the hearing. And we have to talk about this theory that he has about how you can challenge rights defensively. Jess, I need a lot of help. And this is your guy. So I'm going to turn it over to you. Talk to me about your man Neil. What's he doing?

_**Jess Pieklo**_

Yeah, so during the oral arguments, man, he was just really out of line. Okay, first of all, he was just rude to Solicitor General Prelogar. Like just out of control, rude, interrupting, like, just his tone. I'm sorry. It was just gross. Smarmy questions is honestly how I would describe them. Like it was just dripping with Alito tone. And then you hinted at this, but there's this line of questioning that he had that is really dangerous. And we're gonna dive into this idea of asserting constitutional rights in what he kept calling this quote unquote, defensive posture.

_**Imani Gandy**_

And what does that mean?! I mean, first of all, how can you assert a constitutional right as a defense? A constitutional right is a, it's a proactive right. It's very frustrating, but that's what we're gonna dive into in this episode. What the hell was Neil Gorsuch talking about when he said that you can assert your constitutional right to an abortion as a defense, and we need to talk about how that's a really, really big tell.

_**Jess Pieklo**_

So we know Gorsuch hates abortion. Right, Imani? Tell us the ways.

_**Imani Gandy**_

How much does Gorsuch hate abortion, Jess?

_**Jess Pieklo**_
Let us count the ways. All right. So he's a Trump appointee, and every Trump appointee made that Fed Soc pledge to you know, end Roe as soon as they could, right?

Imani Gandy

Jess Pieklo
It sounds nefarious, and it sounds like things that happen in dark rooms and smoky corridors. That's the pledge that every Federalist Society judge made that if given the opportunity, they would overturn Roe vs. Wade. You did not get to be a Trump nominee unless you made that pledge. There's like a secret handshake or something I'm sure that goes along with it. But that's top of the line. Right? So Gorsuch was also in the majority earlier this year when the Supreme Court let SB 8 take effect the very first time, right? So he was fine with it then. Also, he dissented in June Medical Services on third party standing. And it's been a little bit since we talked about that. But he said providers' and patients' interests are in conflict with each other, Imani.

Imani Gandy
And that's crap. That's crap. And it just promotes more stigma and more violence against abortion providers. But that's not all. He also wrote a book in 2006 on the future of assisted suicide and euthanasia. And that suggests very, very strongly that he's a quote, life at conception, fetal personhood kind of dude. And that's bad, because we don't need fertilized eggs having the same rights as you and I do.

Jess Pieklo
No, eggs are not people. They really are not. But can we get back to Gorsuch's dissent in June Medical Services, because we haven't talked about that case in a little while and there's a lot there. Yeah. So I because you know, I don't have a ton of hobbies. I went back and reread it after Monday's arguments. And look, I gotta say, man, Gorsuch is not subtle. Okay. I know for a little while I was giving him some grace, the pandemic beard. He was like out there trying to be reasonable. He gave us a good opinion in Bostock and said, "Hey, you just can't fire or somebody for being gay or trans." Like, you know, there were some hints, and then, no, no, I should have known better. Because between that dissent, and then this line of questioning, I can see a pretty clear path to first stymieing and then honestly overturning Roe altogether. I'm sorry.

Imani Gandy
But I don't understand. First of all, I really do want to talk about third party standing because we might have some listeners who weren't, who have just recently been activated on the abortion issue and don't quite understand what that means. So in order to file a lawsuit you have to have standing. That was one of the huge discussions in SB 8, this Texas lawsuit, is that the Texas Republicans in crafting this lawsuit conferred standing onto everyone in the country, to say that they were injured somehow. They were injured by this outrageous behavior of people getting abortions or people performing abortions, or people helping people get abortions from people who are performing abortions.
Imani Gandy
So the point is, you have to have standing, you have to have a personal claim, a personal interest in the result of a lawsuit. There are some instances where two parties are so aligned in interest that one party can go into court and sort of make the case for the party who's not in court, right, this third party, they can assert the rights and the interests and the defenses of the party who's not in court. But that party who's not in court knows that the party in court has got their back. People who need abortions know that abortion providers have their back when it comes to asserting the constitutional right to an abortion in court and to making the proper arguments because they're the ones who are frequently suing because they're the ones who are frequently the targets of these laws. And it's unreasonable to expect a pregnant person to sue every time they need an abortion, because pregnancies don't last for four years, and litigation does.

Imani Gandy
So by making these claims that abortion providers' interests are not aligned with the people who need abortion by claiming, for example, abortion providers are trying to go to court to challenge these quote, health and safety measures, right? Measures like the admitting privileges law that was at issue in June Medical Services, measures like laws that require clinics to retrofit themselves to be inpatient hospitals, even if that clinic only provides medication abortion. This is the sort of thing that we're talking about when we say that it is dangerous for lawyers to make arguments that abortion providers and people who need abortions' interests aren't aligned because it increases stigma, it increases violence, and it's simply not true. People aren't providing abortions to get rich and buy Lamborghini's. So I just I needed to get that, I needed to get that out of the way because it was weighing on my chest.

Jess Pieklo
And all of that is true. And Imani, third party standing is well settled law, even in abortion rights law. And so the idea that when June Medical Services, this case from a couple years ago, that was challenging admitting privileges provisions in Louisiana, that, you know, conservatives used that as an opportunity to launch a sideways attack on standing. That was pretty bold back then. And even bolder, there were several justices who were ready to go along with it, which I mean, that was probably one of the biggest tells we had that the fix was in in terms of really, truly coming after Roe with the Trump appointees. Like in June Medical Services, I think when we saw so many of the conservative justices be like, "Yeah, you know, what? This well settled precedent around third party standing where providers can sue on behalf of their patients. We think it's time to go after that."

Imani Gandy
It's a very big tell when it comes to the sorts of rights that Republicans find out of favor, right? Abortion rights, trans rights, the right to vote, all of these constitutional rights that favor minoritized populations are rights that these conservative justices and all of the Republicans who support them, they're not down with them.

Jess Pieklo
Yeah. So let's talk about what Gorsuch does in this dissent in June Medical Services because he really telegraphs some stuff that I think we're gonna see this term from him in the court. So, okay, he starts off
with this hokey writing style. If you have not read a Gorsuch opinion, listeners, please do so. And then my apologies for having you do so also because his prose is pretty tortured. He likes to be an everyman kind of justice. So that's what it sounds like. So it starts off with this hokey writing style. That's talking all about the importance of, wait for it, Imani. Judicial restraint.

Imani Gandy

Jess Pieklo
And the need for clear rules to prevent the courts from legislating. Like you can almost hear the finger wagging as he's starting this off?

Imani Gandy
Do you mean clear rules like pre-viability abortion bans are unconstitutional? Because that seems like a pretty clear rule to me!

Jess Pieklo
I mean, the kind of rule that says providers can assert the rights of their patients. Clear.

Imani Gandy

Jess Pieklo
So right away, we know that Justice Gorsuch is into bad faith finger wagging, right? "You folks need clear rules." All right. So then his dissent launches into this, like exposition on the dangers and the risks to patients of abortion care. And yeah, truly appropriate fart noise. This one is even worse though, every citation everything that he quotes in this entirely relies on anti-choice testimony for those statements, all of them, all of them. So like, we know, he's not even trying here, right?

Imani Gandy
Not even trying at all, like, literally part of the issue with Louisiana were that these Louisiana abortion providers were trying really, really hard to get admitting privileges at hospitals. And the fact of the matter is, it's nearly impossible to get admitting privileges at hospitals, unless you have a certain number of patients that you are going to admit per month, right? Because hospitals are businesses, they're not really all about giving admitting privileges to people who are never going to actually help them grow their business as a hospital, right? Abortion is very, very safe. So the fact that there aren't going to be abortion providers who have enough hospital admissions in order for them to get these privileges is a good thing. It means that abortion is really safe, and there not a lot of abortion patients that are landing in the ER. And in addition, a lot of these hospitals are run by Catholic agencies, right? They have these Catholic rules where they're not allowed to provide abortion. So how is an abortion provider gonna get admitting privileges at a hospital that hates abortion?
Jess Pieklo
Yep. Yeah. You know, and I mean, we’ve talked about this on the show before, the way that conservatives especially will like use dissenting opinions as a way to sort of build momentum into a majority opinion. Right, you know, and so as I was going back and reading that dissent, and having Monday’s arguments in my head, I really got like cold sweats because what I could hear his Gorsuch and the other conservative setting up an argument that there’s no benefit to abortion, right, the patients derive no true benefit from abortion. And that could be one of the bases that they use for overturning Roe.

Imani Gandy
Which is mindboggling, to say that abortion patients don’t get any real benefit from abortion providers. When abortion patients need abortions and abortion providers provide abortions.

Jess Pieklo
I know.

Imani Gandy
And it really bothers me because as you know, I’ve been in this game with you for 10 years now. And as I’ve gotten further along in it, I’ve met more providers, I’ve talked to more providers, I’ve been on panels and gone to conferences, in Twitter DMs with these people. These people are legitimately heroes, they do this work literally under the gun. There are abortion providers who have to wear bulletproof vests to work, because there are people who believe that the quote pro life position means that it’s justifiable homicide to kill an abortion doctor. These are not people who are providing abortions to get rich. They’re doing it because there are people who are desperate for this kind of care. And they are the sorts of people who can provide that care. And they do so because it’s their job and because they want to help people. And I just feel like that needs to be said more often. Because, as you said, they are pushing in a direction where abortion providers will be seen as outlaws, right?

Jess Pieklo
Yeah.

Imani Gandy
And outlaws, not even like the good kind of outlaws, but outlaws who are actually dangerous to people. And that’s absolutely untrue. That’s categorically false.

Jess Pieklo
Yeah and I’m really glad that you said that, because in this dissent, Gorsuch launches into this entire tirade about conflicts that abortion providers have with their patients, and says that that is a reason that the court was wrong to not block Louisiana’s admitting privileges law. Right, like, I know! I know! It’s so-

Imani Gandy
I’m waving my hands in the air. Because, you know, I don’t know if you remember this. We did a podcast about this a couple of years ago, when I got super excited about understanding what begging the question is, right? Because they’ll always say, that begs question, and what they really mean to say is that
raises the question, because begging the question is a specific kind of fallacy. And I really never understood it. I just always knew it didn't mean raise the question, but then this case happened. And so what happens is, the argument in court was that this law was not enacted for the health and safety of pregnant people. What Gorsuch and people like him are saying is that this law was obviously inherently enacted for the health and safety of pregnant people. And the very fact that there are abortion providers challenging that claim that it’s for the health and safety of pregnant people. That begs the question as to whether or not it is for the health and safety of pregnant people. I got so excited. And I'm still excited right now. That’s the only example I can think of begging the question. But you can’t say that a law is for the health and safety of pregnant people when the people challenging the law are saying no, it’s not. Like that's the issue of the case.

Jess Pieklo
Exactly.

Imani Gandy
So what is Gorsuch talking about? Can you help me?

Jess Pieklo
No, I can’t honestly. But putting these pieces together, right, because in that dissent, he’s also complaining about the last of deference that the court in in striking Louisiana’s admitting privileges law was showing to state legislatures. So if we, if we take that piece, and we put it together with what was going on in Monday's argument over SB 8 that is just really rough, rough for abortion rights, Imani, like, it's bad.

Imani Gandy
So are we just assuming now the good faith of Republican lawmakers, when they put legislative findings in bills, and say all of this ridiculous crap about women's health and say, the health and safety of quote women, because they never talk about pregnant people, because apparently, in their world, only women get pregnant, and then they just make stuff up. They’ve literally developed entire scientific institutes. There's a junk science industry, and they put junk science in these bills. And then you have people like Gorsuch saying, well, we’ve got to pay more attention to the state legislatures. He's the kind of judge who's just going to accept the nonsense that a state legislature puts into a bill, never question it, even if it's patently wrong, even if it's junk science, non peer reviewed science, and we’re supposed to accept that. How is that? How is? How? why?

Jess Pieklo
Yeah, I don't know. I don't know.

Imani Gandy
All right. Well, thanks. I hate it. So I want to switch gears for a moment because you hinted at this earlier, and it's really alarming. What is this business about having no benefit to abortion? And how does that relate to his claim that abortion is a constitutional right that needs to be asserted defensively? And what does that mean? Like what does it mean to assert a constitutional right defensively?
Jess Pieklo
Yeah, like truly Neil what the hell?

Imani Gandy
Like I need help. I need your help, Jess.

Jess Pieklo
So during oral arguments Gorsuch had like this one basic talking point is really the only thing that I can describe it as, is a talking point. And it's this idea that it is in fact, totally fine. In fact, Imani it is constitutionally acceptable, that sometimes we have to assert our rights in defense basically, after they've been violated, and that there's no problem with blatantly unconstitutional laws just hanging around on the books until that point.

Imani Gandy
But?

Jess Pieklo
Your face.

Imani Gandy
That, that can't be, it can't be that you assert a right to an abortion after your right to abortion has already been denied. That cannot be. Like physically that cannot be. We we saw that with Norma McCorvey. Right? Like she's, she was, she is Jane Roe. She wanted to get an abortion, she couldn't get an abortion. So you started her right to an abortion defensively and never got the abortion? Because you can't remain pregnant for four years!

Jess Pieklo
I know, but this is it. And so. So it's so dangerous, and it's so bad faith and Gorsuch just was off to the races with it. Because during arguments he was doing, you know, it was like, "Look, we do this with constitutional rights all the time. Look at your speech rights, look at your protest rights. Like it's perfectly fine for city councils to pass a noise ordinance that is blatantly unconstitutional. And you know, we should do that with abortion too." Except!

Imani Gandy
Except those rights aren't time sensitive.

Jess Pieklo
Pregnancy's a little bit different. Sometimes it takes a little bit.

Imani Gandy
I mean, free speech rights. Yeah. Like I can't go, I don't know, say "bomb" on an airplane. And then I sue. And five years later, the court says, oh, no, you can say bomb in an airplane. Fine. And I can go on a plane
and say bomb. It's not a big deal. It doesn't work like that with abortion. Right? Who do I talk to to make them understand? Because I feel like I'm not getting through to people. I know you understand. I know our producer Mark understands. Who do I have to talk to.

Jess Pieklo
It's just it's mindboggling. But it's so dangerous because it empowers so much bad faith from the conservatives here. And it's not like they needed any empowering to go down this line to begin with. Right? And I mean, we've seen this from them. When I hear this whole like, "we do this all the time, you should be able to just assert your right to get an abortion in defense." Even during the arguments hearing Gorsuch say that, all I could think of truly Imani was this Shelby County vs. Holder arguments and case, except we are in abortion and not voting rights land. Like that was where we were, you know, we had Roberts talking about, "no, this is fine. You know, we are a post racial society. And, in fact, we don't need the Voting Rights Act because the Voting Rights Act is working. So ergo, the Voting Rights Act should go away."

Imani Gandy
Right. And that's when Ruth Bader Ginsburg was like, "Um, excuse me, you don't throw away your umbrella just because it's not raining anymore," or whatever that glorious line that was, but you're absolutely right. And the thing that bothers me about it so much is that it's always the rights that minoritized and oppressed people need.

Jess Pieklo
Yes!

Imani Gandy
It's abortion rights. It's trans rights. It's the right to vote. It's never gun rights.

Jess Pieklo
No.

Imani Gandy
It's never the right to like complain about being woke in the pages of the New York Times. Right? It's like it's always, it's always rights that like, I care about that black women care about that, that all women care about, that trans people care about. It's just anything that's not cis white dude related, it seems like is something that can be asserted defensively. And I don't really like, I don't like the way that sort of constitutional interpretation goes. It's not good for me. It's not good for you. It might be good for Mark. He's a white cis dude. Congratulations, Mark, you're the only one who's gonna have rights in a year.

Jess Pieklo
I mean, but think about it. So it's really bad with abortion, right. But this doesn't stop there. You mentioned several others, like, you know what, it is fine to pass an unconstitutional bathroom ban, for example, and let that law just hang around on the books, who really is hurt by that, Imani, until somebody has to pee in public? Like, what the fuck?! I don't understand it. Or a, like completely
unconstitutional voting restriction. Right? Let's pass a poll tax again. What's the harm if we just leave it on the books? And then somebody tries to register and vote and their right to vote is denied? It's fine. You can bring a lawsuit and then seven years from now have your relief.

**Imani Gandy**
And this is so closely tied into our ongoing discussion about the need to expand the courts, right?

**Jess Pieklo**
Yes

**Imani Gandy**
Maybe we can assert abortion rights defensively, if you can get a lawsuit from start to finish in six months. I mean, you can't! You know what I mean? I mean, we should be able to even have litigation that takes only one or two years. Litigation shouldn't take 5, 6, 7 years. It just shouldn't.

**Jess Pieklo**
know.

**Imani Gandy**
Oy oy oy, I can't

**Jess Pieklo**
No. So this is really stressing me out. I've been thinking about it pretty much non stop, because like, it's not like I thought Gorsuch was ever going to, you know, side with the moderates. I'm not even calling them liberals anymore. Because truly, like the moderates is, you know, the main the sort of centrists on the court, it's not like I thought he was, you know, gonna be like, "oh, you know what I'm suddenly decided that SB 8 is bad," but just seeing all of the breadcrumbs that they are laying out and knowing that they want to overturn Roe, and they are going to have to at some point show their work. I think we've got some clues. I think we have a few signals from Neil, at least. I'm just making faces because it's hurting my stomach.

**Imani Gandy**
It hurts my stomach. And it also it hurts democracy, frankly. Right? Like we shouldn't be able to predict so closely what the court is going to do. Like we shouldn't be sitting here for the next seven to eight months talking every week on the podcast about how the court is going to kill Roe, because we know that's what they're going to do. Because people like Neil Gorsuch have been signaling it for a couple of years now.

**Jess Pieklo**
Yeah.

**Imani Gandy**
I hate it. I don't like it. I don't have to like it. You can't make me like it.
Jess Pieklo
You don't have to like it. No. And all the bad faith arguments are out there. They show us, they tell us they're not hiding it. So.

Imani Gandy
And they don't care. That's the thing, right? They're up there. They're at Federalist Society hosted events talking about how "we're not captured by the Federalist Society." They're going to Mitch McConnell and kissing his ring. And we're supposed to pretend this is not a completely hyper partisan court. I won't do it. I won't do it.

Jess Pieklo
Meanwhile, we're damn near 70 days and counting, SB 8 in effect. Blatantly unconstitutional abortion ban on the books and counting

Imani Gandy
And a crisis, legitimate healthcare crisis that is not located only in Texas, by the way, right? Because it spreads to our home state of Colorado. I just said home state of Colorado. To our home state of Colorado to Virginia is now going to be screwed. Right? Because Youngkin one, we're going to get more anti-choice restrictions in Virginia, even as Democrats just got rid of them last January. This is spreading and it affects everyone. And people who think it's gonna stay limited to Texas? It's not! It's really not. But that's enough of that. Because you know what you ought to do right now listener, dear listener? Go to rewirenewsgroup.com/fallout and sign up for Jess's newsletter. Her newsletter, it's called the Fallout, you want this newsletter in your inbox every week, you really do. Jess used to write so much, she was like the most prolific writer at our organization

Jess Pieklo
I did used to write so much.

Imani Gandy
She wrote so much! Like before I was even working with you in the organization you were covering all of legal by yourself and now I never get to read you anymore. So this one time per week is the one time I get to read you every week and it is it is a delight. So make sure you do that. Make sure you follow me on shitter. Whait, did I just call Twitter shitter? I feel like I might have.

Jess Pieklo
I hope so!

Imani Gandy
You can follow me on Twitter at @angryblacklady. You can follow Jess at @hegemommy. H-E-G-E-M-O-M-Y. You can join our Facebook group. You should definitely follow us on Instagram, @RewireNewsGroup on Instagram and on Twitter because our social media manager, she's spicy. She's spicy and I love her. Um, I guess that's it. Neil, god why man?
Jess Pieklo
Get it together, man.

Imani Gandy
Why, Neil? Get it together. And on that note, what are we gonna do?

Jess Pieklo
We’re gonna see you on the tubes, folks.

Imani Gandy
See you on the tubes, folks.

Imani Gandy
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